

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/886,208	Applicant(s) FABIJANSKI ET AL.	
	Examiner David T. Fox	Art Unit 1638	

All Participants:

 (1) David T. Fox.

 (2) George Loud.
Status of Application: final rejection mailed 9/9/03

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 January 2004
Time: _____.

Type of Interview:


- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
all
Claims discussed:
all
Prior art documents discussed:
of record
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that the after final response was received by the USPTO mail room on 12/9/03 and by Tech Center 1600 on 12/11/03. The after final response has been scanned into the image file wrapper, but has not yet been entered into the computerized docket tracking system. Accordingly, the Examiner may not yet act upon the after final response. However, the Examiner has reviewed the after final response in the image file wrapper, and has determined that it overcomes all rejections of record. The Examiner has requested that the after final response be officially entered into the docketing system, so that the status of the application may be changed to "response after final submitted". Upon said entry, the Examiner will submit a proposed Examiner's Amendment to correct minor typographical and grammatical errors..